

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/674,627                  | 09/29/2003                          | Prajakta S. Joshi    | 120442-169969       | 4709             |  |
| 34554<br>SCHWABE V          | 7590 10/16/200<br>VILLIAMSON & WY A | EXAM                 | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| 1420 5TH AVENUE, SUITE 3010 |                                     |                      | VO, TED T           |                  |  |
| SEATTLE, W.                 | A 98101                             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                             |                                     |                      | 2191                |                  |  |
|                             |                                     |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                             |                                     |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                             |                                     |                      | 10/16/2009          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)           |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 10/674,627      | JOSHI, PRAJAKTA S.     |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit               |  |  |  |  |
| TED T. VO       | 2191                   |  |  |  |  |
|                 | 10/674,627<br>Examiner |  |  |  |  |

|   | TED T. VO  | 2191   |                               |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add                               | ress                          |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br/>periods:</li> </ol>   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing   | date of the final rejection.   |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection                      | n.                            |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(d). |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  | liance with 27 CER 44 27 must be 4   | Slad within two worth                            | a of the date of              |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS  |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):  |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or mended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 34-38.43-46.51-55 and 60-74. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome all rejections under appea   | l and/or appellant fail:                         | s to provide a                |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but<br/><u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>  |  | condition for allowan                            | ce because:                   |  |  |  |  |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:   |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |
|   | /Ted T. Vo/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 2191   |                               |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments filed on 09/21/09 has been considered, but they are not persuasive because the Applicants addressed the same points that had been addressed and provided in the Office actions. For the filling of affidavit, then affidavit cannot overcome the reference that is the 102(b) type.